

# Historic West Des Moines Master Plan Steering Committee – 2021 Update

## Wednesday, March 30, 2022, 4:30 pm

## **MINUTES**

- I. Chair calls meeting to order called to order at 4:34 pm
  - a. Attendance
    - i. Committee members
      - 1. Nate Hon
      - 2. Meredith Wells
      - 3. Ralph Haskins
      - 4. Mark Veiock
      - 5. Steve Frevert
      - 6. Cleo Underwood
      - 7. Scott Hatfield
      - 8. Scott Cutler
      - 9. Steph Trannel
      - 10. Katherine Harrington
      - 11. Kevin Trevilian
      - 12. Mitchell Callahan
      - 13. Vicky Long Hill
      - 14. Renae Johanningmeier
      - 15. Vince Valdez via Teams
      - 16. Julie Eliason via Teams
      - 17. Debbie Westphal Swander via Teams
    - ii. Staff Members
      - 1. Brad Munford
      - 2. Christine Gordon

- 3. Clyde Evans
- iii. Consultant
  - 1. Mike Hoffman Teska
  - 2. Erin Cigliano Teska via Teams
- iv. Guests
  - 1. Victoria Veiock
  - 2. MJ Hoag
  - 3. Nick Waage
  - 4. Kevin Goodlaxson
  - 5. Jack Hon
  - 6. Clyde Beveridge
  - 7. Helen Rodish
  - 8. Albert Salazar
  - 9. Teena Shineflew
  - 10. Gregory Allen
  - 11. Abby Sharp
  - 12. Cory Sharp
  - 13. Tom Hyde
  - 14. Kay Schiller via Teams
  - 15. Larry via Teams
- II. Committee Approves the Agenda Motion by Scott Hatfield, , Seconded by Steph Trannel all in favor
- III. Approval of March 9, 2022, Minutes motion by Steve Frevert, seconded by Steph Trannel all in favor
- IV. Opening Statement is read for all in attendance Read by Meredith Wells
- V. Citizen Forum
  - a. Clyde Evans
    - i. He asked the Committee and guests to allow the consultants to complete their presentation before discussion and comments happen.
    - ii. Informed the committee that Scott Hatfield is being inducted into Valley High School Hall of Fame
- VI. Discussion of the Design Guidelines for the Railroad Avenue District Teska
  - a. Mike Hoffman & Erin Cigliano presented the PowerPoint slides

- b. Meredith Wells the renderings are helpful, shows the screenings. Shows how well they work
- c. Nate Hon where did we get the 2/3 story from? Why is 3 story allowed in RA-2 and 2 story in RA-1? In RA-2, the commercial is only 1 story so to jump to 3 story seems to much of a jump. Be mindful of what you're doing to residences. People were surprised by the 3 story buildings on 5<sup>th</sup> Street.
  - i. Mike Hoffman land economics to make it work its needed to go up more than one story.
     It is a car oriented street. The more people provides more opportunities. RA-2 has more businesses which better support 3 story building
  - ii. Kevin Trevilian if we allow it, then it will happen, and someone will build it
  - iii. Meredith Wells be mindful of businesses as well. Rents are going up because cost of construction is going up.
  - iv. Mitchell Callahan Not everyone was surprised by the 3 story buildings. There is a process to go through for approval of development. This is not just going to happen without further public input.
  - v. Steve Frevert who is "they"? No one is going to force anyone to sell and remove housing. We are talking about what is allowed.
  - vi. Clyde Evans The City cannot condemn a property and flip it to a developer. That is restricted by state code.
  - vii. Brad Mumford the example property Teska prepared is currently VJC currently allows up to 30 feet, so currently a developer can build up to 3 story. These ideas are not random or new
  - viii. Meredith Wells Remember the visual preference survey showed the 3 story buildings are wanted
  - ix. Ralph Haskins in this example Teska created a large amount of screening so that the residences would not even know there is commercial next to them
  - x. Kevin Trevilian example shows 6-8 foot fence. Current code does not allow for that.
    - 1. Clyde Evans we could write it into the PUD to require that height of fence.
  - xi. Debbie Westphal Swander bothers me when this committee talks like fractions and not a community of people. We are to think about the welfare of the community of people, not just our individual groups. We need to think about the extremes of what could happen. What is Valley Junction?? Remember the mind map that Erin Cigliano did in one of the first meetings. That is what this is all about. What is essential to who we are?? It is important for us to try and understand where other people are coming from understand their frame of reference, their story. With that, we can come to the center.

- xii. Mike Hoffman at looking at the maximum you can get on a site we did max it out base on parking.
- xiii. Meredith Wells Need to think about the future of what we are. This is looking at what we want to be. Do we want to grow or stay the same?
- xiv. Debbie Westphal Swander this is not about staying in the past or staying old this is not about historic preservation this is about our heritage and who we will evolve into. It's a heritage thing and not a keep buildings old thing
- xv. Julie Eliason for RA-1 & RA-2 does the step down rule apply here for adjacent properties.

  How are north from Railroad does these area go?
  - 1. Mike Hoffman No, the step-down rule does not apply in these areas. RA-1 & RA-2 go north 4 lots.
- d. Nate Hon How many businesses do we want? When will we say enough is enough? Next we go to transitional area, and how many businesses are we going to let in there. At one point do we say single-family affordable housing is more important than businesses
  - i. Vicki Long Hill the first Master Plan made suggestions about infill lots and we should look more into those opportunities.
  - ii. Ralph Haskins How many residential properties in the example area shown?
    - 1. Erin Cigliano RA-1 has 16 properties and RA-2 has 3.
  - iii. Katherine Harrington a good community is a mixture of all of this. Not just one thing; a combination of all of it and a balance of it all.
- e. Kathrine Harrington MOTION ready to make motion Meredith Wells asked her to wait until we have public comment on RA-1 & RA-2
- f. Jack Hon RA-1 & RA-1 what about the alley in your example; you'll have half an alley. In the drawing is a fire pit on the roof is that allowed? People are making decisions about this area but are not around this area at all. All of this talk of businesses and not about the residences. Why are we allowing 3 story west of 7<sup>th</sup> Street? Think about what you're doing you're affecting people's lives. You're messing with people's lives. Maybe they like living down here where it is quieter. You're bringing traffic, noise, parking problems. You're forcing us to move out of the neighborhood. Fine to improve businesses along Railroad, but how many businesses do we really need? Stay with the 2 story.
  - i. Mike Hoffman The half alley would be addressed in a PUD. It could be a gas fire pit.
  - ii. Vicky Long Hill What would you like down here?
    - 1. Jack Hon 2 story would be okay, but not 3 story if it's not allowed on 5<sup>th</sup> Street.
- g. Nick Waage Asked how many total houses in a block beyond what was counted in the tables.

  Looking at the development example at 8<sup>th</sup> & 7<sup>th</sup> talking about setbacks for Caseys and possible

development. What about landscaping for noise abatement? The only way you're going to abate the noise is to have a berm. Nothing else will work. I hear noise from 5<sup>th</sup> Street. These proposed buildings will affect many more people than just the people you're showing on your little spreadsheet there. I've been here 35 years and I never thought I'd see 3 story buildings and cramming people into the area. Limit is to one story residential only. Two miles south you've got plenty of land to develop – Veterans Parkway West – you want to crowd people in, crowd them in down there.

- i. Erin Cigliano The Design Guidelines get into more detail about the noise buffering requirements
- h. Debbie Westphal Swander Nick brought up the topography –
- Helen Rodish Currently my property is zones light industrial Helen presented her pictures. Gave history of development along Railroad Ave and issues with parking.
- j. Gregory Allen are you zoning this as residential, commercial or mixed use? How did you come up with parking requirements if it is mixed use. Is it standard for parking? What about parking on 5<sup>th</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>?
  - i. Mike Hoffman Along Railroad is Mixed Use. The number is based on code. Yes it is standard parking requirements. The parking study shows there is sufficient parking.
  - ii. Steph Trannel We are not changing the zoning for this area?
  - iii. Mike Hoffman It is a mix of zoning and right now we are focused on the design guidelines and not the zoning.
  - iv. Nate Hon If someone wants to put housing on commercial zoning so you have to go through hoops?
    - 1. Mike Hoffman a rezoning would be needed
    - 2. Brad Munford Went over the basics of the zoning currently in place
    - 3. Kevin Trevillyan Areas in yellow are single family. We are looking at changing an area from single family to commercial.
    - 4. Brad Munford in 2010, the Comprehensive Plan was updated to change the zoning from single family to medium density. If someone wanted to rezone it from single family to medium density, the Comprehensive Plan designation would make it easier to do that.
- k. Meredith Wells Closed public comment on RA-1 & RA-2
- Katherine Harrington Made a motion to limit 2 story in RA-1 and 3 story for RA-2, seconded by Steve Frevert
  - i. Meredith Wells Businesses were surveyed on this idea and mixed support.

- ii. Nate Hon why didn't we do the step down here with the possibility of 3 story backing up to a single story
- iii. Steve Frevert The parking lots serve as the buffer between the heights and uses of neighboring properties.
- iv. Debbie Westphal Swander would ask for more time to review and discuss with people
- v. Meredith Wells reminder of visual preference survey and that input.
- vi. Vicky Long Hill Anderson/Renewal Building how many stories is that? 2 ½ stories
- vii. Nate Hon with the existing 30 feet rule what is to that? Can anyone even do a 3 story building with the current requirements?
  - 1. Brad Munford the maximum anything can go is 30 feet
  - 2. Mike Hoffman it comes down to zoning

#### viii. Vote on Motion

- 1. Nate Hon No
- 2. Meredith Wells Yes
- 3. Ralph Haskins Yes
- 4. Mark Veiock Yes
- 5. Steve Frevert Yes
- 6. Cleo Underwood Yes
- 7. Scott Hatfield Yes
- 8. Scott Cutler Yes
- 9. Steph Trannel Yes
- 10. Katherine Harrington Yes
- 11. Kevin Trevillyan does not vote
- 12. Mitchell Callahan Yes
- 13. Vicky Long Hill Abstain
- 14. Renae Johanningmeier No
- 15. Vince Valdez via Teams not present for vote
- 16. Julie Eliason via Teams Abstain
- 17. Debbie Westphal Swander via Teams No
- 18. Summary 10-yes, 3-no, 2-abstain Motion carries
- m. Nate Hon Made a motion to limit 2 story in RA-2, seconded by Mark Veiock.
  - 1. Nate Hon Yes
  - 2. Meredith Wells No
  - 3. Ralph Haskins No
  - 4. Mark Veiock Yes

- 5. Steve Frevert No
- 6. Cleo Underwood yes
- 7. Scott Hatfield No
- 8. Scott Cutler No
- 9. Steph Trannel No
- 10. Katherine Harrington No
- 11. Kevin Trevillyan does not vote
- 12. Mitchell Callahan No
- 13. Vicky Long Hill Yes
- 14. Renae Johanningmeier Yes
- 15. Vince Valdez via Teams not present for vote
- 16. Julie Eliason via Teams Yes
- 17. Debbie Westphal Swander via Teams Yes
- 18. Summary 7-yes, 8-no, 0-abstain Motion did not carry

#### n. RA-3 Discussion

- i. Katherine Harrington This could be a showcase area for our area
- ii. Meredith Wells To have a hotel in the area would allow for people to stay who are visiting this area especially with the coming recreation area by Parks & Rec.
- iii. Steve Frevert Not all of the RA-3 area is developable.
- iv. Steph Trannel like the idea of having larger condo or townhouses for families to move into the area who maybe don't want a yard to take care more housing options for more people
- v. Gregory Allen Seems you are pretty set on a hotel; don't we have enough in town?
  - 1. Steph Trannel There are plenty of hotels on the west side
- vi. Clyde Beveridge Have anyone considered what would happen if it floods down here again?

  And don't say it won't happen again, because I have seen 4 in my lifetime. And the levy only holds the water inside. I don't think anything will help a 10" rain.
  - Clyde Evans yes there is a massive storm drainage in 1<sup>st</sup> Street and Grand Avenue with the intent to pump it over the levy. City purchased property at Vine and 14<sup>th</sup> Street to work as a drainage basin.
- vii. Helen Rodish in the floods of 1993 pumps behind QT in 2008 during the flooding because the City forgot to turn on the pumps but once they were turned on, the flooding stopped. I don't think people understood what people voted on when you approved a 3 story building along Railroad Avenue. When you looked at my pictures you saw how the taller buildings stand out.

- viii. Jenea Veazey Would like to see a hotel near my house that is nice. The Quality Inn on 8<sup>th</sup> Street is not very nice. The closest is Jordan Creek, Clive, etc those are 15 minutes drive to my house. Would be nice to have a hotel close to business in VJ and the event in the area as well.
- ix. Renae Johanningmeier I have a hotel that is only rented twice a week. It is underutilized.
- x. Kevin Goodlaxen Add the language that the only developable area in RA3 is to the east of Meals for the Heartland and Valley Station.
- xi. Nate Hon I would like the stories to be discusses in terms of feet and not just stories.
  - 1. Brad Munford Zoning code is by feet
  - 2. Mike Hoffman for the design guidelines allow for the taller building if we are going to limit by the height up to 60 feet to allow for rooftop patio
  - 3. Mark Veiock asked how many of these 4 story roof top bars are in Des Moines?
    - a. Katherine Harrington Republic
  - 4. Cleo Underwood what is they want a taller ceiling on the top floor?
  - 5. Steph Trannel I agree with allowing for 4 story or 60 feet whichever is greater
- xii. Julie Eliason This is the area that has the most opportunity. The site will limit the size of building based on parking and such. Don't support putting maximums on this site.
- xiii. Vicky Long Hill won't this flushed out in the development review
  - 1. Clyde Evans yes this will be flushed out
- xiv. Debbie Westphal Swander clarifying that we are talking about up to 60 foot building at the corner of  $1^{st}$  & Railroad for RA-3 .
- xv. Mitchell Callahan Made a motion to limit maximum height in RA-3 is 60 feet or up to 4 stories, not to exceed 60 feet. Seconded by Cleo Underwood
  - 1. Nate Hon No
  - 2. Meredith Wells Yes
  - 3. Ralph Haskins Yes
  - 4. Mark Veiock Yes
  - 5. Steve Frevert Yes
  - 6. Cleo Underwood Yes
  - 7. Scott Hatfield not present for vote
  - 8. Scott Cutler Yes
  - 9. Steph Trannel -Yes
  - 10. Katherine Harrington Yes
  - 11. Kevin Trevillyan does not vote
  - 12. Mitchell Callahan Yes

- 13. Vicky Long Hill Yes
- 14. Renae Johanningmeier Yes
- 15. Vince Valdez via Teams not present for vote
- 16. Julie Eliason via Teams Yes
- 17. Debbie Westphal Swander via Teams No

### 18. Summary – 12-yes, 2-no, 0-abstain – Motion caries

- o. Mike Hoffman would like to see an overall vote on the Design Guidelines for all of the RA area.
  - i. Renae Johanningmeier Do we need to address the noise issues brought up by Nick Waage before we vote?
    - 1. Clyde Evans current regulations require landscape buffering or 6' fence which is not going to do much for noise.
    - 2. Brad Munford to apply a suburban buffering requirement how does that change the look of this area?
    - 3. Ralph Haskins the proposed heights could help mitigate some of the noise on Railroad Avenue.
    - 4. Mitchell Callahan Can insulated walls that reduce noise be built?
    - 5. Mike Hoffman yes that is possible but very expensive.
  - ii. Steve Frevert Motion to approve the Design Guidelines for all of Railroad Avenue Area.

## Seconded by Vicky Long Hill

- 1. Nate Hon No
- 2. Meredith Wells Yes
- 3. Ralph Haskins Yes
- 4. Mark Veiock Yes
- 5. Steve Frevert Yes
- 6. Cleo Underwood Yes
- 7. Scott Hatfield yes
- 8. Scott Cutler Yes
- 9. Steph Trannel -Yes
- 10. Katherine Harrington Yes
- 11. Kevin Trevillyan does not vote
- 12. Mitchell Callahan Yes
- 13. Vicky Long Hill Yes
- 14. Renae Johanningmeier no
- 15. Vince Valdez via Teams not present for vote
- 16. Julie Eliason via Teams Yes

17. Debbie Westphal Swander – via Teams – No

## 18. Summary – 12-yes, 3-no, 0-abstain – Motion Carries

- VII. Discussion of the Design guidelines for the Transitional Area Teska Postponed to next meeting
- VIII. Meeting adjourned at 7:33 pm

#### Handouts

Power point slides dated March 30, 2022

## **Staff Contacts:**

Community & Economic Development – 515-273-0770
Brad Munford – <u>brad.munford@wdm.iowa.gov</u>
Clyde Evans – <u>clyde.evans@wdm.iowa.gov</u>
Christine Gordon – <u>christine.gordon@wdm.iowa.gov</u>

Master Plan on Website: <a href="https://www.wdm.iowa.gov/government/community-economic-development/historic-west-des-moines-master-plan">https://www.wdm.iowa.gov/government/community-economic-development/historic-west-des-moines-master-plan</a>

Project website: <a href="https://historicwdm.com/">https://historicwdm.com/</a>

### **Future Meetings:**

April 20, 2022 at 4:30 – Steering Committee Meeting May 9, 2022 at 5:30 – Planning & Zoning Meeting May 23, 2022 at 5:30 – City Council Meeting May 25, 2022 at 4:30 – Steering Committee Meeting